

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. SOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/089,265	C	03/27/2002	Rajen Shah	4-31158A	2975	
1095	7590	06/04/2003	•			
THOMAS			EXAMINER			
ONE HEAL	TH PLAZ	A 430/2	CTUAL PROPERTY JOYNES, ROBERT M			
EAST HAN	OVER, NJ	07936-1080		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1615		
•						
		•		DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli ation No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summary	10/089,265	SHAH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and	Robert M. Joynes	1615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspond ince address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
,	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
=	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
	on Papers	_					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine		ominor				
10)[]	Find the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acception and a second acception to the second and acception to the second acception accep						
11)[]]	The proposed drawing correction filed on						
11/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		oved by the Examiner.				
12) 🖂 🗆	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1190	a)-(d) or (f).				
•	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under ou o.e.e. 3 7.00	۵) (۵) در (۱).				
/ L	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.				
	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	rity documents have been receiv reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	red in this National Stage				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	·					
•	•		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				
15) 🗌 A	D ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment	` '	, –	(DTD 440) B				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants' Preliminary Amendment filed on March 27, 2002 and Information Disclosure Statement filed on July 23, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 provides for the use of rivastigmine and excipients, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the

Application/Control Number: 10/089,265

Art Unit: 1615

unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,883. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. U.S. Patent No. 6,565,883 teaches a pharmaceutical controlled-release formulation of rivastigmine that has a specific release profile recited in the claims. The claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,883 does recite specific components for the composition other than rivastigmine, therefore, an examination of the Specification was needed to determine what components gave the composition its particular release profile. Examination of the Specification revealed the same exact composition with the

Application/Control Number: 10/089,265

Art Unit: 1615

same exact release profile for the composition. Therefore, both U.S. Patent No. 6,565,883 and the instant claims are drawn to the same exact formulations that have the same profile. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to claim a formulation in terms of its release profile characteristics as well as describe the same formulation in terms of the components that compose the formulation. At the time the invenytion was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to prepare a core of rivastigmine with two film coatings to obtain the release profile of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,883. One would be motivated to do so to release the drug over an extended period of time to achieve maximum effectiveness in the delivery of the drug.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cussler et al. (WO 95/03033). Cussler teaches a composition containing an active agent core with a semi-permeable film coating that is further coated with a vapor permeable shell (Page 30, Claim 1; Page 10, lines 7-32; Page 16, lines 13-25). Therefore, Cussler teaches every limitation of instant Claim 1.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Joynes whose telephone number is (703)

Application/Control Number: 10/089,265 Page 5

Art Unit: 1615

308-8869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs. 8:30 - 6:00, alternate Fri. 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3592 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Robert M. Joynes Patent Examiner Art Unit 1615 June 1, 2003

> THURMAN K. PAGE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600